arXiv:1407.2481v2 [math.AP] 15 Aug 2014

INVERSE ACOUSTIC SCATTERING PROBLEM IN HALF-SPACE WITH ANISOTROPIC RANDOM IMPEDANCE

TAPIO HELIN, MATTI LASSAS AND LASSI PÄIVÄRINTA

Abstract. We study an inverse acoustic scattering problem in half-space with a probabilistic impedance boundary value condition. The Robin coefficient (surface impedance) is assumed to be a Gaussian random function with a pseudodifferential operator describing the covariance. We measure the amplitude of the backscattered field averaged over the frequency band and assume that the data is generated by a single realization of λ. Our main result is to show that under certain conditions the principal symbol of the covariance operator of λ is uniquely determined. Most importantly, no approximations are needed and we can solve the full non-linear inverse problem. We concentrate on anisotropic models for the principal symbol, which leads to the analysis of a novel anisotropic spherical Radon transform and its invertibility.

1. Introduction

In this work we study inverse acoustic scattering in half-space. We assume that the time-harmonic acoustic field u satisfies the Helmholtz equation

$$(\Delta + k^2)u(x) = \delta_y(x), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^3_+ = \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty)$, $k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the wave number and δ_y is the Dirac delta distribution at $y \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$, i.e., the propagating wave is generated by a point source located in the upper half-space. Moreover, the total field $u = u(\cdot; y, k)$ is assumed to satisfy the impedance boundary value condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}(x) + \lambda_k u(x) = 0 \tag{2}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^3_0 := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$, where $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(x)$ is an unknown realization of a real-valued random function with a bounded support. We assume that the wave number k is positive and λ_k is real-valued. Notice that in our model λ_k depends on k.

The classical problem with impedance boundary value condition in the half-space geometry is to understand what kind of surface waves appear on $\mathbb{R}^3_0$. Related to this, the uniqueness of the solution in many cases requires a special radiation condition [15, 26]. In our case it can be shown that the classical Sommerfeld radiation condition

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - ik\right) u(x) = o(|x|^{-1}), \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty \tag{3}$$

and uniformly in the sphere $x/|x| \in \mathbb{S}^2$, guarantees the uniqueness for a real-valued and compactly supported λ_k.

Date: August 18, 2014.

In the context of acoustics and sound propagation, the parameter λ_k is typically factorized as $\lambda_k = ik\beta$, where β is the acoustic admittance of the surface. It describes the ratio between the normal fluid velocity and the pressure at the surface. In this work we require $\mathrm{Re}\,(\beta) = 0$ in order to fulfil assumptions on λ_k. In acoustics, the boundary is said to be passive or non-absorbing.

Let U be an open and bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^3_+$. Our interest lies in the following inverse problem: *given the back-scattered field $u(y; y, k)$ for all $y \in U$ and $k > 0$, what information can be recovered regarding λ_k?* In other words, we take measurements generated by a single realization of λ_k and ask what properties the underlying random process had. The role of randomness in this treatise is to model the complex or chaotic micro-structure of λ_k. We do not focus on recovering λ_k exactly, but instead work towards determining some statistical properties regarding its probability distribution. We return to a more detailed formulation of this problem below.

Our work draws inspiration from [23], where inverse scattering was studied for a two-dimensional random Schrödinger equation $(\Delta + q + k^2)u = 0$. The potential q was assumed to be a Gaussian random function such that the covariance operator is a classical pseudodifferential operator [18]. The result in [23] shows that the backscattered field, obtained from a single realization of q, determines uniquely the principal symbol of the covariance operator of q. The statistical model for q assumes that the potential is locally isotropic and that the smoothness remains unchanged in spatial changes. However, the local variance is allowed to vary. A random field with such properties was called *microlocally isotropic*. This large class of random fields includes stochastic processes like the Brownian bridge or the Levy Brownian motion in the plane.

In the present treatise we generalize this concept to a class of random fields that are called *microlocally anisotropic*. Similar to [23] the covariance operator is assumed to be a pseudodifferential operator. However, the principal symbol is allowed to be direction-dependent. Hence, the correlation of the field is anisotropic while the smoothness is remains unchanged in spatial changes.

Our main results in Theorems 2.5 and 2.7 relate to the unique recovery of the principal symbol [18] of the covariance of λ. In the isotropic case, the principal symbol can be fully recovered. If the field is anisotropic, a partial recovery is always possible. In particular, if the degrees of freedom can be reduced, e.g., the field is solenoidal, the anisotropic principal symbol can be uniquely determined. Most importantly, no approximations are made and we can study the full non-linear inverse problem. Further, the model of the anisotropic random field leads to the analysis of a novel anisotropic spherical Radon transform and its invertibility.

The forward problem related to (1)-(2) has been widely studied in relation to outdoor sound propagation: how to predict the far field behaviour of the sound field emitted from a monofrequency point source located above? The problems related to energy-absorbing boundaries with $\mathrm{Re}\,\beta > 0$ have been studied in detail by Chandler-Wilde (see e.g. [8, 9]). The energy propagating at boundary level is maximized when $\mathrm{Re}\,\beta = 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,\beta < 0$. In this case, the outgoing surface wave decays slower than volume waves and, consequently, special radiation conditions need to be considered. The speed of surface waves were studied

in [15, 14, 13, 20, 21, 22] in detail. We also mention [25] on uniqueness results when the surface waves do not exist.

The direct problem related to random Robin boundary conditions in half-space for the Helmholtz equation was considered in [3] in the context of homogenization theory. The aim is to find an effective solution to the problem when the oscillations increase. Often, however, randomness in inverse problems is related to the source [17, 5] or the medium [16]. Typical approach to scattering from a random medium relies on the multiscale asymptotics of the scattered field. This direction has been studied by Papanicolaou and others in various cases [4, 7]. Moreover, scattering effects from random boundary were studied in [2].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we describe the main results in detail. The probabilistic model for the random Robin parameter λ_k is introduced and motivated in section 3. Despite the forward scattering problem being classical, the problem (1)-(2) in half-space geometry is scarcely considered in the literature and we include a rigorous analysis of the situation in section 4. Assuming the Born approximation, we analyse the cross-correlations in the back-scattered data. In section 5 we show an asymptotic formula for the cross-correlations, while in section 6 ergodicity arguments are used to prove the convergence of the measurement. Finally, in section 7 we describe the recoverability of the anisotropic microlocal strength.

2. Statement of the result

Throughout the paper we identify $\mathbb{R}^3_0 = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ with $\mathbb{R}^2$. In consequence, whenever an object is defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$ this should be interpreted as the boundary of the half-space. However, when elements from $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ and the boundary appear simultaneously in a equation we prefer to distinguish the boundary by $\mathbb{R}^3_0$. First example of this convention is the random Robin parameter λ supported in $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

We assume the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is complete and that the Robin parameter λ is a zero-centered generalized Gaussian random field on $\mathbb{R}^3_0$ with a covariance operator $C_\lambda : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Furthermore, we assume that C_λ is a classical pseudodifferential operator. We return to a rigorous definition of generalized random fields in Section 3.

A few words on notation: throughout the text we denote by $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $\mathbb{B}^n$ the unit sphere and ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively. In addition, we write e.g. $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(R)$ to distinguish a sphere with radius R. Moreover, we use convention $z^0 = z/|z| \in \mathbb{S}^1$ for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^3_0$. For two functions $f = f(x)$ and $g = g(x)$ we write $f \propto g$ if there exists a constant C such that $f = Cg$ everywhere. Further, C denotes a generic constant the value of which can change even inside a formula.

We distinguish microlocally isotropic and anisotropic fields by the following definitions.

Definition 2.1. *The random field λ is called* microlocally isotropic *(of order ϵ) in D, if the principal symbol of C_λ satisfies $\sigma^p(x,\xi) = b(x)|\xi|^{-2\epsilon-2}$, where $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is supported in D.*

Definition 2.2. *The random field λ is called* microlocally anisotropic *(of order ϵ) in D, if the principal symbol of C_λ satisfies*

$$\sigma^p(x,\xi) = \frac{b(x,\xi^0)}{|\xi|^{2\epsilon+2}}, \quad \xi^0 = \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, \tag{4}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ and some bounded function $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ supported in $D \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

The function b appearing in Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 is called *isotropic* and *anisotropic local strength* of λ, respectively. In the following sections we consider the isotropic model as a special case of anisotropy and hence the notation b always depends on ξ.

Let us now record main technical assumptions regarding our model. In order to establish the main results, the anisotropic local strength b of λ is assumed to satisfy following conditions:

(A1) $b(x,\xi^0) = b(x,-\xi^0)$ for any $\xi^0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and

(A2) there exists $\widetilde{b} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $s > 0$ such that $\widetilde{b}(x,\cdot)$ is real-analytic and s-homogeneous, i.e., $\widetilde{b}(x,y) = |y|^s b(x,y^0)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

The assumption (A2) allows us to use unique continuation of analytic functions in the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Recall now that the set of measurement points U is an open and bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^3_+$. We assume that its projection to $\mathbb{R}^3_0$ is disjoint to D, i.e.,

(A3) $U' = \{(x',0) \in \mathbb{R}^3_0 \mid (x',x_3) \in U\}$ satisfies $U' \cap D = \emptyset$.

Moreover, the random field λ is assumed to depend on k according to

(A4) $\lambda_k(x) = \frac{\lambda(x)}{k^p}$ for $k \geq 1$, $p > \epsilon + \frac{1}{2}$ and λ is a microlocally anistropic random field.

The assumption (A3) is technical in nature and is required in section 5 (see remark 5.1) and in the proof of Theorem 7.1. The assumption (A4) is related to the convergence speed of the Born series and is discussed in remark 7.3 in more detail.

In the scattering problem the wave u is decomposed as

$$u(x;y,k) = u_{in}(x;y,k) + u_s(x;y,k),$$

where u_s is the scattered field and

$$u_{in}(x;y,k) = g_k(x-y) + g_k(\widetilde{x}-y). \tag{5}$$

Above, we have $\widetilde{x} = (x_1,x_2,-x_3)$ and g_k stands for

$$g_k(x) = \frac{\exp(ik|x|)}{4\pi|x|}.$$

We point out that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} g_k(x)\Big|_{x_3=0} = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3_0$ and, consequently, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} u_{in}(\cdot;y,k)\Big|_{x_3=0} = 0$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$. Thus, u_{in} is the solution to the Helmholtz problem (1) and (3) in half-space geometry with zero Neumann boundary condition.

We are ready describe our measurement data.

Definition 2.3. *Given $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x, y \in U$, the measurement $m(x,y,\omega)$ is the pointwise limit*

$$m(x,y,\omega) = \lim_{K\to\infty} \frac{1}{K-1} \int_1^K k^{2(1+\epsilon+p)} |u_s(x;y,k,\omega)|^2 dk. \tag{6}$$

We call $m(y,y,\omega)$ the backscattering measurement.

The well-posedness of the direct problem is shown by the following result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3_0$ be a bounded simply connected domain and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3_+$ the measurement set satisfying assumption (A3). Moreover, let λ be a microlocally anisotropic Gaussian random field of order $\epsilon > 0$ in D such that assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A4) are satisfied. Then the following holds:*

(i) *For any $x, y \in U$ the measurement $m(x, y, \omega)$ is well-defined (the limit in (6) exists almost surely), if the line segment $L_{x,y} = \{tx + (1-t)y \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$ lies in the exterior of D, i.e., $L_{x,y} \subset \mathbb{R}^3_0 \setminus \overline{D}$.*

(ii) *There exists a continuous deterministic function $m_0(x, y)$ such that for any $x, y \in U$ the equality $m(x, y, \omega) = m_0(x, y)$ holds almost surely. In particular, the function $n_0(x) := m_0(x, x)$ is almost surely determined by the backscattering data $\{m(x, x, \omega) : x \in U\}$.*

(iii) *The backscattering data i.e. $n_0(x)$, $x = (x', x_3) \in U$ is determined by the microcorrelation strength b through the relation*

$$n_0(x) = \frac{1}{2^{8+2\epsilon}\pi^2} \int_D \frac{b(z, (z - x')^0)}{|z - x|^2} dz. \tag{7}$$

As an intermediate step, we prove in Theorem 7.1 that the backscattering data $n_0(x)$, $x = (x', x_3) \in U$ determines the integrals

$$(\mathcal{S}b)(x', r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} b(x' + r\theta, \theta) d|\theta|$$

for all $x' \in \mathbb{R}^3_0$ and $r > 0$. We call $\mathcal{S}$ an anisotropic spherical Radon transform. To the knowledge of the authors, the invertibility of $\mathcal{S}$ has not been studied in literature.

Notice that if λ is isotropic, $\mathcal{S}$ reduces to the standard spherical Radon transform. In this case, the question of invertibility is classical (see e.g., [1] and the references therein) and follows directly. Hence we can formulate our main result on the isotropic model.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3_0$ and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3_+$ be as in Theorem 2.4. Moreover, let λ be microlocally isotropic of order $\epsilon > 0$ in D. Then the backscattering data $n_0(x)$, $x \in U$, uniquely determines $b = b(x)$ everywhere.*

Regarding the anisotropic problem, we explicitly describe the null-space of $\mathcal{S}$ in Theorem 7.2. This yields us the following result on the invertibility of S.

Theorem 2.6. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 2.4, the backscattering data $n_0(x)$, $x \in U$ uniquely determines values*

$$(\mathcal{F}b)(\xi, \xi^0) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{F}b)(\xi, (\xi^0)^\perp),$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3_0$, where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}$ is the Fourier transform and $\xi^\perp = (\xi_2, -\xi_1)$.

Our interest lies in the case when the anisotropic local strength is of quadratic form

$$b(x, \xi^0) = \langle \xi^0, A(x)\xi^0 \rangle, \tag{8}$$

where the matrix field $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ is

(A5) smooth and symmetric, has uniformly bounded eigenvalues and satisfies $\operatorname{supp}(A) \subset D$.

The main result regarding the quadratic model is then as follows.

Theorem 2.7. *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.4 hold. In addition, we assume that the local strength of λ is of the form (8), where $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ satisfies (A5). Given the backscattering data $n_0(x)$, $x \in U$, the trace $\mathrm{tr}(A)$ can be uniquely determined everywhere. Moreover, suppose that one of three coefficient functions a_j, $1 \leq j \leq 3$ from*

$$A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(x) & a_3(x) \\ a_3(x) & a_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

is known, then the backscattering data $n_0(x)$, $x \in U$, uniquely determines the other two everywhere.

3. Properties of the random field

3.1. **Smoothness of the realizations.** We begin by defining a generalized Gaussian field. Let λ be a measurable map from the probability space Ω to the space of real-valued $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that for all $\phi_1, ..., \phi_m \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the mapping $\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto (\langle \lambda(\omega), \phi_j \rangle)_{j=1}^m$ is a Gaussian random variable. The distribution of λ is determined by the expectation $\mathbb{E}\lambda$ and the covariance operator $C_\lambda : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defined by

$$\langle \psi_1, C_\lambda \psi_2 \rangle = \mathbb{E}(\langle \lambda - \mathbb{E}\lambda, \psi_1 \rangle \langle \lambda - \mathbb{E}\lambda, \psi_2 \rangle). \tag{9}$$

Let $c_\lambda(x, y)$ be the Schwartz kernel of the covariance operator C_λ. We call $c_\lambda(x, y)$ the covariance function of λ. Then, in the sense of generalized functions, (9) reads as

$$c_\lambda(x, y) = \mathbb{E}((\lambda(x) - \mathbb{E}\lambda(x))(\lambda(y) - \mathbb{E}\lambda(y)). \tag{10}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and random field λ as in Definition 2.2. We have $\lambda \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)$ almost surely for all $\alpha \in (0, \epsilon)$.*

Proof. Consider a generalized Gaussian random field Y on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with expectation $\mathbb{E}Y = 0$ and a covariance operator $C_Y = (I - \Delta)^{-1-\epsilon}$. Clearly, the symbol of C_Y satisfies $\sigma(C_Y) = (1+|\xi|^2)^{-1-\epsilon}$, and we have that the random field $\widetilde{Y} = \phi Y$ for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is microlocally isotropic of order ϵ in the sense of definition 2.1. Due to [23, Thm. 2] we have $Y \in H^{\delta,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ almost surely for all $\delta < \epsilon$ and $1 < p < \infty$.

Let us then define a new generalized random field by $\widetilde{\lambda} = \lambda + Y$. It follows that $C_{\widetilde{\lambda}}$ is an uniformly elliptic pseudodifferential operator and we can define a square root $C_{\widetilde{\lambda}}^{1/2}$ such that $\sigma(C_{\widetilde{\lambda}}^{1/2}) \in S^{-1-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ [28]. Moreover, it is straightforward to see that $\widetilde{\lambda} = C_{\widetilde{\lambda}}^{1/2} C_Y^{-1/2} Y$ in (probability) distribution and, consequently, $\widetilde{\lambda} \in H^{\delta,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ almost surely for any $\delta < \epsilon$ due to [29, Prop. 13.6.5]. We conclude that $\lambda = \widetilde{\lambda} - Y \in H^{\delta,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ almost surely and the result follows by the Sobolev embedding theorem. □

3.2. **Examples of microlocal anisotropy.** Microlocally isotropic fields were illustrated by examples of fractional Brownian and Markov fields in [23]. Inspired by these we present two interesting cases that are motivated by other inverse problem research. As the second example, we present a problem which does not fully satisfy our assumptions (more precisely (A4)) and can be only partially answered by our analysis. However, the case is highly interesting since the anisotropy is generated by an unknown diffeomorphism. This is often the case in geometrical inverse problems.

3.2.1. *Gaussian potential field.* Define a Gaussian random process Y on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $\mathbb{E}Y = 0$ and

$$c_Y(z_1, z_2) = |z_1 - z_2|^{2+\epsilon} + r(z_1, z_2)$$

for $\epsilon > 0$, where $r \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. We have then $\sigma(C_Y) \in S^{-4-\epsilon}_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and for the principal symbol $\sigma_p(C_Y) = |\xi|^{-4-\epsilon}$, $\xi > 1$. Let us now define

$$q = D_v Y := (v(x) \cdot \nabla)\, Y$$

for a vector field $v \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(v) \subset D$. The random field q inherits a covariance $C_q = D_v^* C_Y D_v : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Since $\sigma(D_v) = v(x) \cdot \xi \in S^1_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, the composition of pseudodifferential operators [18, Thm. 18.1.8] yields that $\sigma(C_q) \in S^{-2-\epsilon}_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and the principal symbol of q satisfies

$$\sigma^p(C_q) \propto (v(x) \cdot \xi)^2 |\xi|^{-4-\epsilon} = \langle \xi^0, A(x)\xi^0 \rangle |\xi|^{-2-\epsilon},$$

where $A(x) = v(x)v(x)^\top$. By Theorem 2.7 we can recover v if either component is known a priori. Even if this is not known, one can recover $\operatorname{tr}(A(x)) = \|v(x)\|^2$.

3.2.2. *Fractional Brownian fields under diffeomorphism.* Following the Example 1 in [23] let us define the multidimensional fractional Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^2$ for the Hurst index H as the centered Gaussian process $X_H(z)$ indexed by $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}|X_H(z_1) - X_H(z_2)|^2 = |z_1 - z_2|^{2H} \quad \text{for all } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ &X(z_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ &\text{the paths } z \mapsto X_H(z) \text{ are a.s. continuous.} \end{aligned}$$

The existence and basic properties of X_H are well-known [19]. Consider now a diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$, where ∇F has a uniform bound for the matrix norm. We define a process

$$q(z, \omega) := a(z) X_H(F(z), \omega) \tag{11}$$

for a deterministic function $a \in C^\infty_0(D)$ and index $H > 0$. In consequence, the covariance of Y_H satisfies

$$C_q(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} a(z_1)a(z_2) \left(|F(z_1) - F(z_0)|^{2H} + |F(z_2) - F(z_0)|^{2H} + |F(z_1) - F(z_2)|^{2H} \right).$$

By utilizing the Taylor expansion $F(z_1) = F(z_2) + (\nabla F)(z_1)(z_1 - z_2) + \mathcal{O}(|z_1 - z_2|^2)$ we obtain $\sigma(C_q) \in S^{-2-2H}_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\sigma^p(z, \xi) \propto a(z)^2 |\nabla F(z)\xi|^{-2-2H} = b(z, \xi^0)|\xi|^{-2-2H},$$

where $b(z, \xi^0) = a(z)^2 |\nabla F(z)\xi^0|^{-2-2H}$ with $\xi^0 = \xi/|\xi|$.

We recognize that b is p-homogeneous for $p = -2 - 2H$ and does not fulfil assumption (A4). However, the assumption is used only in the proof of Theorem 7.1. In fact, we obtain the integrals $(\mathcal{S}b)(x', r)$ for any $x' \in U'$ and $r > 0$, where U' is the projection of U.

4. The forward problem

We define the boundary to full space single layer potential $S_k : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3_0) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by

$$S_k\phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_0} g_k(x-y)\phi(y)dy$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We use notation $S_k^+ : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3_0) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$ and $S_k^B : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3_0) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3_0)$ for the boundary to half-space and boundary to boundary restrictions of S_k, respectively. Recall that a single layer potential is continuous at the boundary $\mathbb{R}^3_0$. However, the derivative has a well-known jump condition [11]

$$\lim_{x_3\to 0} \frac{\partial(S_k\phi)}{\partial x_3}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} g_k\right)(x-y)\phi(y)dy - \frac{1}{2}\phi(x). \tag{12}$$

In the following, we study uniqueness and existence in the context of a local Sobolev space. Consequently, the solutions to (1)-(3) should be understood in a weak sense.

Definition 4.1. *We say that $f \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$ if for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ there exists $g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $f\phi = g$ in $\mathbb{R}^3_+$.*

The definition above yields a Frechet space. This can be seen by considering a family of seminorms $p_j(\cdot) = \|\phi_j \cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$, where $\phi_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\phi_j(x) = 1$ within a ball with radius 2^j.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $u \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$ solve the homogeneous problem*

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta + k^2)u &= 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3_+, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} + \rho u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3_0, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where u satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition (3) *and $\rho \in C^{0,\alpha}(D)$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then $u = 0$.*

Proof. Let $u = u_+$ be a solution to the problem (13). We define $u_-(x', x_3) = u_+(x', -x_3)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3_-$, i.e. $x_3 < 0$, and denote the symmetrization of u_+ by

$$\widetilde{u}(x', x_3) = \begin{cases} u_+(x', x_3) & x_3 \geq 0, \\ u_-(x', x_3) & x_3 < 0. \end{cases}$$

By the second Green's identity we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta\widetilde{u}, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)\times C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_-} u_-\Delta\phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} u_+\Delta\phi dx \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_-} \Delta u_-\phi dx + \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^3_-} \left(\frac{\partial u_-}{\partial n}\phi - u_-\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}\right)dx &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \Delta u_+\phi dx - \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^3_+} \left(\frac{\partial u_+}{\partial n}\phi - u_+\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}\right)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have $u_+(x', 0) = u_-(x', 0)$ for any $x' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} u_-(x', 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\left(u_+(x', -x_3)\right)|_{x_3=0} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} u_+(x', 0).$$

Now it follows that

$$\langle(\Delta+k^2)\widetilde{u},\phi\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)\times C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} = -2\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^3_+}\frac{\partial u_+}{\partial n}\phi dx = -2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_0}\rho\widetilde{u}\phi dx, \tag{14}$$

and since ρ has a compact support, $\widetilde{u}$ solves the Helmholtz equations outside any open neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ of D. Furthermore, by standard interior regularity arguments we have that $\widetilde{u}\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{U})$.

For convenience, we distinguish the upper and lower half of the two-sphere by

$$\mathbb{S}^2_+(r)=\mathbb{S}^2(r)\cap\{x_3>0\}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbb{S}^2_-(r)=\mathbb{S}^2(r)\cap\{x_3<0\}.$$

From the radiation condition it follows that

$$0=\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2(r)}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{u}}{\partial\nu}-ik\widetilde{u}\right|^2dS(x)=\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2(r)}\left(\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{u}}{\partial\nu}\right|^2+|k|^2|\widetilde{u}|^2+2k\mathrm{Im}\left(\widetilde{u}\overline{\frac{\partial\widetilde{u}}{\partial\nu}}\right)\right)dS(x), \tag{15}$$

where $dS(x)$ is the surface differential. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2(r)}\mathrm{Im}\left(\widetilde{u}\overline{\frac{\partial\widetilde{u}}{\partial\nu}}\right)dS(x) &= \mathrm{Im}\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2_+(r)}u_+\overline{\frac{\partial u_+}{\partial\nu}}dS(x)-\int_{\mathbb{S}^2_-(r)}u_-\overline{\frac{\partial u_-}{\partial\nu}}dS(x)\right)\\ &= 2\mathrm{Im}\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2_+(r)}u_+\overline{\frac{\partial u_+}{\partial\nu}}dS(x)\right)\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $u_-(x)=u_+(-x)$. Next, integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2_+(r)}u_+\overline{\frac{\partial u_+}{\partial\nu}}dS(x)=\int_{\mathbb{B}^2(r)}u_+\overline{\frac{\partial u_+}{\partial\nu}}dS(x)-k^2\int_{\mathbb{B}^3_+(r)}|u|^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{B}^3_+(r)}|\nabla u|^2dx.$$

and due to the boundary condition we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^2(r)}u_+\overline{\frac{\partial u_+}{\partial\nu}}dS(x)=\int_{\mathbb{B}^2(r)}\lambda_k|u_+|^2dS(x)$$

Combining last three identities we see that integral (16) vanishes. From equation (15) it now follows immediately that $\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2(r)}|\widetilde{u}|^2dS(x)=0$. Recall from (14) that $\widetilde{u}$ is a solution to exterior Helmholtz problem of any open neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ of D. In consequence, the Rellich theorem yields that $\widetilde{u}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{U}$. By unique continuation principle [10] we deduce that $u_+=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3_+$. □

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\phi\in L^2(D)$ we have*

$$S_k^B\phi(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\exp(ix\cdot\xi)p(\xi)\widehat{\phi}(\xi)d\xi\quad\text{for}\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^3_0 \tag{17}$$

for

$$p(\xi)=\frac{C(\xi)}{\sqrt{|\xi^2-k^2|}}\quad\text{where}\quad C(\xi)=\begin{cases}\pi, & |\xi'|>k,\\ \pi i, & |\xi'|<k.\end{cases}$$

Proof. We use notation $x = (x', x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $x' = (x_1, x_2)$. Note that $g_k \in H^t_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $t < 1$ and thus the trace $g_k(\cdot, x_3)$ is well-defined and belongs to $H^\tau_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $\tau < \frac{1}{2}$. Now write

$$g_k(x', 0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\exp(ix' \cdot \xi')}{\xi'^2 - k^2 + \xi_3^2 + i\epsilon} d\xi' d\xi_3.$$

We want to calculate

$$I_\epsilon(\xi') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\xi'^2 - k^2 + \xi_3^2 + i\epsilon} d\xi_3$$

and study the limit

$$I(\xi') = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} I_\epsilon(\xi') = (\mathcal{F}_{x'} g_k)(\xi', 0)$$

in the sense of generalized functions.

Assume first $a^2 := \xi'^2 - k^2 > 0$. Now the Lebesgue's dominated convergence yields $I(\xi') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\xi_3^2 + a^2)^{-1} d\xi_3$. The extension of function $f(\xi') = (\xi_3^2 + a^2)^{-1}$ to the complex plane has poles at $\xi' = ia$ and $\xi' = -ia$. Let γ_R be positively oriented contour that goes along the real line from $-R$ to R and then counterclockwise along a semicircle centered at origin from R to $-R$. Since γ_R contains the pole at ia, the residue theorem yields

$$\int_{\gamma_R} f(\xi) = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}(f, ia) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\xi'^2 + a^2}}.$$

Taking R to infinity gives $I(\xi')$ since, the integral over the arc decays to zero.

By similar arguments it follows for $k^2 > \xi'^2$ and $b := \sqrt{k^2 - \xi'^2} > 0$ that

$$I_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\xi_3}{\xi_3^2 - (b^2 - i\epsilon)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\xi_3}{(\xi_3 - b_\epsilon)(\xi_3 + b_\epsilon)} = \frac{\pi i}{b_\epsilon},$$

where b_ϵ is the square-root of $b^2 - i\epsilon$. By taking ϵ to zero we obtain the claim. □

In the next proposition we prove a bound for the operator norm of S_k^B following the strategy used in [6]. With that in mind, let us introduce two essential concepts. Namely, let $\{\Phi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ form a dyadic partition of a unity

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Phi_j(t) = 1$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the following conditions hold:

(1) $\operatorname{supp}(\Phi_0) \subset [-2, 2]$ and
(2) there exists $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\Phi) \subset (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ and $\Phi_j(t) = \Phi(\frac{t}{2^j})$ for $j \geq 1$.

The Theorem 3.1. in [6] is formulated using so-called ϵ-mollifiers. We reproduce the definition here for the sake of clarity.

Definition 4.4. *A family of ϵ-mollifiers, $\chi_\epsilon(x, y)$, defined on $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies*

i) $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{A}_1} \int_{\mathcal{A}_2} |\chi_\epsilon(x, y)| dy \leq C$,
ii) $|\chi_\epsilon(x, y)| \leq \frac{C_N}{\epsilon^n} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|x-y|} \right)^N$ *for all* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *and*
iii) $|\nabla_y \chi_\epsilon(x, y)| \leq \frac{C_N}{\epsilon^{n+1}} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|x-y|} \right)^N$ *for all* $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

What is crucial to our treatise below is that functions $\chi_\epsilon(x,y) = \widehat{\Phi}_j(x-y)$ form a family of ϵ-mollifiers for $\epsilon = 2^{-j}$.

Proposition 4.5. *The operator norm of $\chi_D S_k^B$ in $L^2(D)$ is bounded by*

$$\left\|\chi_D S_k^B\right\|_{L^2(D)\to L^2(D)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Consider now a symbol $p(y) = |y|^2 - 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Clearly, the characteristic variety of p is a unit sphere at the origin, i.e, $\mathcal{M} := p^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{S}^1$ with codimension 1. Next, we set $q(y) := C(y)|p(y)|^{-1/2}$ with $|C(y)| = C$, and prove that if χ_ϵ is a family of ϵ-mollifiers then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\chi_\epsilon(x,y)q(y)|\, dy \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \tag{19}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Before we proceed let us record three useful inequalities from [6]: for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $r > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|B(x,r) \cap \mathcal{M}| \leq Cr.$$

Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ it holds that

$$|p(x)| \geq d(x,\mathcal{M}). \tag{20}$$

Also, a direct consequence of [6, Prop. 3.11.] is that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} |\chi_\epsilon(x-y)| d\sigma(y) \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}. \tag{21}$$

Next, we consider inequality (19) separately in and outside of a set defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid d(x,\mathcal{M}) \leq \delta\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid k - \delta \leq |x| \leq k + \delta\}. \tag{22}$$

First, recall that $\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\chi_\epsilon(x,y)|dy < C$. Clearly, for x outside $\mathcal{N}_{1/2}$, we have $q(x) \leq C$. Also, for $x \in \mathcal{N}_{1/2} \setminus \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ we can estimate $q(x) \leq C/\sqrt{\epsilon}$ due to (20). Thus, inequality follows outside $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$.

Secondly, consider (19) inside $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$. Using the same arguments as above, we see that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathcal{N}_\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\mathcal{N}_\epsilon} |\chi_\epsilon(x,y)q(y)|dy \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}.$$

Utilizing the inequality (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in\mathcal{N}_\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{N}_\epsilon} |\chi_\epsilon(x,y)q(y)|dy &= \sup_{x\in\mathcal{N}_\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_{1-\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} |\chi_\epsilon(x,(r,\theta))q(r)|rdrd\sigma(\theta) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\int_{1-\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} \frac{r}{\sqrt{|r^2-1|}}dr \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves inequality (19).

We can now turn our attention to the claim. By applying (19) with $\epsilon = k2^j$, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}(\Phi_j g_k)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \sup_{\eta\in\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2^{2j}\widehat{\Phi}(2^j(\eta-\xi))\frac{c(\xi)}{\sqrt{|\xi^2-k^2|}}d\xi\right| \\
&= \frac{1}{k}\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (k2^j)^2\widehat{\Phi}(k2^j(\tau-\rho))\frac{c(\rho/k)}{\sqrt{|\rho^2-1|}}d\rho\right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k}\sqrt{k2^j} = \frac{2^{j/2}}{\sqrt{k}}. \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now write $h = \chi_D S_k\phi = \chi_D g_k * \phi$ for $\phi \in L^2(D)$ and use notation $h_m = \Phi_m h$, $g_k^j = \Phi_j g_k$ and $\phi_\ell = \Phi_\ell\phi$ for $m,k,\ell \geq 0$. Notice that since ϕ is compactly supported, there are only finitely many indeces ℓ such that ϕ_ℓ is non-zero. We have now the identity

$$h_m = \Phi_m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} g_k^j * \phi_\ell.$$

Recall that the support of convolution is a subset of the sum of the supports. In our case, for $\Phi_m g_k^j * \phi_\ell = 0$ if $2^{m+1} < 2^{j-1} - 2^{\ell+1}$. In particular, this is satisfied if $j > 3 + \max(\ell, m)$. Taking the Fourier transform, we can estimate

$$\left\|\widehat{h}_m\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\max(\ell,m)+3} \|\widehat{g}_k^j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\left\|\widehat{\phi}_\ell\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for any $m \geq 0$. Combining (23) and the Parseval's identity we obtain

$$\sup_m 2^{-m}\|h_m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\frac{2^{\ell/2}}{\sqrt{k}}\|\phi_\ell\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{C_D}{\sqrt{k}}\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \qquad (24)$$

where the last inequality follows from D being bounded. Moreover, since h is compactly supported, there are only finite number of non-zero $\{h_j\}$. In consequence, the left-hand side in (24) can be bounded from below by $C\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$. This yields the claim. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The operator $\chi S_k : H^{-1/2}(D) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ has a bounded norm.*

Proof. Let $\chi_D \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be such that $\chi_D \equiv 1$ in $\mathbb{B}^3(R)$ where $R > 0$ is large enough such that $D \subset \mathbb{B}^2(R)$. Now, let $\psi \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\phi \in H^{-1/2}(D)$. Let us denote the full space potential by $G_k f = g_k * f : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where the convolution is taken in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \chi S_k\phi, \psi\rangle &= \langle \phi, \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\chi_D G_k^*(\chi\psi))\rangle \\
&\leq C\|\phi\|_{H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\chi_D G_k^*(\chi\psi)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\leq C\|\phi\|_{H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\psi\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the mapping properties of G_k in [24, Thm. 6.11.]. The claim now follows since ψ was arbitrary. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let us write*

$$u = S_k^+ \phi + u_{in}, \tag{25}$$

where ϕ is the unique solution to the problem

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda_k S_k^B\right)\phi = \lambda_k u_{in}. \tag{26}$$

in $L^2(D)$ for almost every realization of λ_k. Then function $u \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$ is the unique solution to the problem (1)-(3) *almost surely.*

Proof. It is straigtforward to see that the problem (1)-(3) has at most one solution in $H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$ due to Proposition 4.2. Namely, if $u_1 \neq u_2$ are two solutions, then $\widetilde{u} = u_1 - u_2$ solves the homogeneous problem (13).

Let us now consider invertibility of (26). Clearly, we have $\lambda_k u_{in} \in L^2(D)$ almost surely. We factorize $\lambda_k S_k^B = \lambda_k(\chi_D S_k^B)$ into two components: applying $\chi_D S_k^B$, where χ_D is the characteristic function of $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and the multiplication operator $f \mapsto \lambda_k f$. The operator $\chi_D S_k^B$ is bounded in $L^2(D)$ and has a weakly singular kernel. It is well-known that such an operator is compact in $L^2(D)$ [30]. Moreover, since λ_k is almost surely Hölder continuous, the multiplication by λ_k is a bounded operation in $L^2(D)$. In consequence, $\lambda_k S_k^B$ is compact.

For the injectivity of (26) suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda_k S_k^B)$. Then $\widetilde{u} = S_k^+ \psi$ solves the homogeneous problem (13) and $\widetilde{u} = 0$. Since $\frac{\partial \widetilde{u}}{\partial x_3} = -\frac{1}{2}\psi = 0$, we conclude that (26) is uniquely solvable.

Finally, u is clearly a solution to the Helmholtz equation for $x_3 > 0$. Moreover, at the boundary we have

$$\lim_{x_3 \to 0}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} + \lambda_k u\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\phi + \lambda_k S_k^B \phi + \lambda_k u_{in} = 0$$

according to (12) and (26). This yields the result. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let us denote $\phi_1 = 2\lambda_k u_{in} \in L^2(D)$ and define an iterative scheme for each $n \geq 1$ by setting*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{n+1} &= 2\lambda_k S_k^B(\phi_n) \quad \text{and} \\ u_n &= S_k^+ \phi_n. \end{aligned}$$

There is a random index $k_0 = k_0(\omega)$ such that $k_0 < \infty$ almost surely and, if $k \geq k_0$ then the Born series

$$u(x; y, k) = u_{in}(x; y, k) + u_1(x; y, k) + u_2(x; y, k) + ... \tag{27}$$

converges pointwise for any $x, y \in U$ to the function defined in Theorem 4.7.

Proof. Let us write

$$\phi_n = 2^n k^{-pn}(M_\lambda S_k^B)^{n-1}(\lambda u_{in}),$$

where we use notation $M_\lambda : f \mapsto \lambda f$. Since $\lambda \in C^\alpha(D)$ almost surely, the multiplication $M_\lambda : L^2(D) \to L^2(D)$ is bounded by a finite constant almost surely. Clearly, the operator $S_k^+ : L^2(D) \to C(U)$ is bounded. Due to Proposition 4.5 it follows that

$$\sup_{x,y \in U} |u_n(x; y, k)| \leq C_1 C_2^{n-1} k^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)-np}, \tag{28}$$

where C_1 and $C_2 = C_2(\omega)$ are the norm bounds of S_k^+ and $M_\lambda S_k^B$, respectively. We point out that C_1 and C_2 are independent of y. Now it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{x,y\in U} |u_n(x,y,k)| \leq C_1 \frac{k^{-p}}{1-C_2 k^{-p}} \leq 2C_1 k^{-p},$$

when $C_2 k^{-p} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, there is a random index $k_0 = k_0(\omega)$ such that $k_0 < \infty$ almost surely, and for any $k \geq k_0$ the pointwise convergence in (27) holds. □

5. Analysis of the Born approximation

5.1. **Correlation between different wavelengths.** According to Corollary 4.8 the first order term in the Born series satisfies

$$u_1(x,y,k) = 2S_k^+(\lambda_k u_{in}(\cdot,y))(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 k^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^3} \frac{\exp(ik(|x-z|+|y-z|))}{|x-z||y-z|} \lambda(z) dz,$$

since $u_{in}(z,y) = 2g_k(z-y)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{R}_0^3$. We denote the correlation function between the Born approximation at different wavelengths by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(x,y,k_1,k_2) &= \mathbb{E}\left(u_1(x,y,k_1)\overline{u_1(x,y,k_2)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi^2)^2 k_1^p k_2^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^3\times\mathbb{R}_0^3} \frac{\exp(i\left(k_1\phi(z_1;x,y) - k_2\phi(z_2;x,y)\right))}{|x-z_1|\,|z_1-y|\,|x-z_2|\,|z_2-y|} c_\lambda(z_1,z_2)\, dz_1 dz_2, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\phi(z;x,y) = |x-z| + |z-y|. \tag{30}$$

Below we introduce multiple coordinate transformations that allow the use of microlocal methods later in our analysis. Notice that we identify $\mathbb{R}_0^3$ with $\mathbb{R}^2$. In the process, e.g., $\phi(\cdot\,;x,y)$, $x,y \in U$ is considered on $\mathbb{R}^2$, although the distance taken in (30) is in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

5.1.1. *Reparametrization by $\tau_{(x,y)}$.* Let us consider the phase in the integral (29). A simple calculation shows that

$$k_1\phi_1 - k_2\phi_2 = \frac{(\phi_1-\phi_2)}{2}(k_1+k_2) + \frac{(\phi_1+\phi_2)}{2}(k_1-k_2) \tag{31}$$

where $\phi_j = \phi(z_j,x,y)$, $j=1,2$. In the following we introduce a reparametrization to (29) so that the pair $(\phi_1 \pm \phi_2)/2$ play the role of two coordinates. The benefit of this change is that the dependency of (29) on the difference $k_1 - k_2$ can be explicitly analysed. What is more, once we study the case $k_1 = k_2$ the first part in (31) controls the high frequency limit as the second part vanishes.

We denote this change of coordinates by τ and define it as a composition of two mappings. Notice carefully that τ will depend also on x and y.

First, denote by $\eta : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ the mapping

$$\eta(v,w) = \frac{1}{2}(v+w, -v+w). \tag{32}$$

We notice that $\eta^{-1}(z_1,z_2) = (z_1 - z_2, z_1 + z_2)$ and that for the Jacobian of η we have $\det(J\eta) = \frac{1}{2}$.

For the second transformation consider the level set

$$E_t = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \phi(z, x, y) = t\}, \quad t > 0, \tag{33}$$

fixed points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$. In fact, E_t describes an ellipse with focal points x and y and a semi-major axis $t/2$. The idea is to parametrize $\mathbb{R}^2$ in terms of the ellipses E_t, $t \geq 0$. We define $\rho_{(x,y)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\rho_{(x,y)}(z_1, z_2) = (\widetilde{\rho}_{(x,y)}(z_1), \widetilde{\rho}_{(x,y)}(z_2))$$

where

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{(x,y)}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(z, x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \arcsin\left(e_1 \cdot f(z, x, y)\right) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \tag{34}$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$f(z, x, y) = \frac{\nabla_z \phi(z; x, y)}{\|\nabla_z \phi(z; x, y)\|}.$$

To sum up, the first component in (34) corresponds to the semi-major axis of the ellipse $E_{\phi(z,x,y)}$. The second component specifies the angle of the normal vector of the ellipse with e_1 at the point z.

Remark 5.1. *Suppose $x', y' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are the projections of $x, y \in U$. It turns out that $\widetilde{\rho}_{(x,y)}(z)$ is constant on the segment $L_{x',y'} = \{tx' + (1-t)y' \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$. Recall that we exclude the possible singularities in the coordinates obtained by $\rho_{(x,y)}$ by assumption in Theorem 2.4 (i). From this point on, we assume that $L_{x',y'} \subset \mathbb{R}^3_0 \setminus \overline{D}$ holds.*

We are ready to define $\tau_{(x,y)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\tau_{(x,y)} = \rho^{-1}_{(x,y)} \circ \eta.$$

Note how the first components transform: if $(v, w) = \tau^{-1}_{(x,y)}(z_1, z_2)$ then

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(z_1, x, y) - \phi(z_2, x, y)) \quad \text{and} \quad w_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(z_1, x, y) + \phi(z_2, x, y)).$$

In consequence, by (31) we obtain

$$k_1\phi_1 - k_2\phi_2 = (k_1 + k_2)v_1 + (k_1 - k_2)w_1.$$

5.1.2. *Representation formula and asymptotics.* By definition, the correlation function c_λ is the Schwartz kernel of a pseudodifferential operator C_λ with a classical symbol $\sigma(x, \xi) \in S^{-2-2\epsilon}_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, the support of c_λ is contained in $D \times D$. We can write c_λ in terms of its symbol by

$$c_\lambda(z_1, z_2) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(z_1 - z_2)\cdot\xi} \sigma(z_1, \xi) d\xi \tag{35}$$

All symbols considered here are classical symbols $S^t_{1,0}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ [18].

Let us shortly revisit conormal distributions of Hörmander type [18]. If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set and $S \subset X$ is a smooth submanifold of X, we denote by $I(X;\, S)$ the distributions in $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ that are smooth in $X \setminus S$ and have a conormal singularity at S. Consequently, by equation (35) the correlation function c_λ is a conormal distribution in $\mathbb{R}^4$ of Hörmander type

having conormal singularity on the surface $S = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid z_1 - z_2 = 0\}$. Moreover, the set of distributions supported in a compact subset of X is denoted by $I_{comp}(X;\, S)$.

Below, we transform symbols on the plane in ways that depend on measurement points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$. In order to establish uniform estimates and claims also with respect to variables x and y we extend the covariance functions into space $\Theta = \mathbb{R}^3_0 \times \mathbb{R}^3_0 \times \mathbb{R}^3_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3_+$ by

$$c_\lambda(z_1, z_2; x, y) = c_\lambda(z_1, z_2)\chi(x)\chi(y), \tag{36}$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$ satisfies $\chi = 1$ in U and the projection of $\mathrm{supp}(\chi)$ to $\mathbb{R}^3_0$ is disjoint to D. Similarly, the surface S is extended by

$$S = \{(z_1, z_2; x, y) \in \Theta \mid z_1 - z_2 = 0\}.$$

Below, we use notation $\tau(\mathbf{x}) = \tau_{(x,y)}(z_1, z_2)$ for any $\mathbf{x} = (z_1, z_2, x, y) \in \Theta$.

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a unique symbol $\widetilde{\sigma} = \widetilde{\sigma}(w, \xi; x, y) \in S^{-2-2\epsilon}_{1,0}(\Theta)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{I}(x, y, k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 k_1^p k_2^p} (\mathcal{F}_w \widetilde{\sigma}) \left(\begin{pmatrix} k_2 - k_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, - \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + k_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; x, y \right). \tag{37}$$

Moreover, $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is compactly supported in the (w, x, y) variables.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{D} \subset \Theta$ be an open set containing $D \times D \times \mathrm{supp}(\chi) \times \mathrm{supp}(\chi)$ so that $c_\lambda \in I_{comp}(\mathbf{D};\, S \cap \mathbf{D})$. Below, we use the fact that conormal distributions are invariant in change of coordinates. We have that

$$c_\tau := \tau^*(c_\lambda) \in I_{comp}(\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{D}); \widetilde{S} \cap \tau^{-1}(\mathbf{D})), \tag{38}$$

where $\widetilde{S} = \tau^{-1}(S) = \{(v, w, x, y) \in \Theta \mid v = 0\}$. With this change of coordinates we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(x, y, k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{(4\pi^2)^2 k_1^p k_2^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \exp\{i((k_1 + k_2)e_1 \cdot v + (k_1 - k_2)e_1 \cdot w)\} \\ \cdot c_\tau(v, w; x, y) H_{(x,y)}(v, w)\, dvdw \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0)^\top$ is the unit vector and

$$H_{(x,y)}(v, w) = \frac{\det\left(J\tau_{(x,y)}(v, w)\right)}{|x - z_1||z_1 - y||x - z_2||z_2 - y|}, \tag{40}$$

where $(z_1, z_2) = \tau_{(x,y)}(v, w)$ and $J\tau_{(x,y)}$ is the Jacobian of $\tau_{(x,y)}$ with respect to (v, w). Since H is smooth in $\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ in all variables and the class $I_{comp}(\Theta;\, \widetilde{S})$ is closed in multiplication with a smooth function, we have $c_\tau \cdot H \in I_{comp}(\Theta;\, \widetilde{S})$. Using the representation theorem of conormal distribution [18, Lemma 18.2.1], we obtain

$$c_\tau(v, w; x, y) H_{(x,y)}(v, w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{iv\cdot\xi} \widetilde{\sigma}(w, \xi; x, y)\, d\xi, \tag{41}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(w, \xi; x, y) \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle -iD_v, D_\xi \rangle^l (\sigma_\tau(w, \xi; x, y) H_{(x,y)}(v, w))|_{v=0} \in S^{-2-2\epsilon}_{1,0}(\Theta). \tag{42}$$

Above, σ_τ is the symbol of c_τ. The equality (37) is obtained by combining equation (41) with (39) and applying the Fourier inversion formula. The compact support of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ follows from definition (36) and compact support of c_τ in (41). □

Lemma 5.3. *For $k_1, k_2 \geq 1$ the random variable u_1 satisfies uniformly for $x, y \in U$ the estimate*

$$|\mathcal{I}(x,y,k_1,k_2)| \leq \frac{C_n}{k_1^p k_2^p (k_1+k_2)^{2+2\epsilon}(1+|k_1-k_2|)^n} \tag{43}$$

$$|\mathbb{E}(u_1(x,y,k_1)u_1(x,y,k_2))| \leq C_n'(k_1+k_2)^{-n}k_1^{-p}k_2^{-p} \tag{44}$$

where n is arbitrary.

Proof. Since $\widetilde{\sigma} \in S^{-2-2\epsilon}_{1,0}(\Theta)$ is compactly supported in (w,x,y)-variables, we have by definition

$$|D_w^\alpha \widetilde{\sigma}(w,\xi;x,y)| \leq C_\alpha(1+|\xi|)^{-2-2\epsilon} \tag{45}$$

for all $|\alpha| \geq 0$, where C_α is independent of $(w,x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times U \times U$. For $k_1 \neq k_2$ this implies after n integrations by parts

$$|\mathcal{I}(x,y,k_1,k_2)| \leq C_n k_1^{-p}k_2^{-p}(1+|k_1+k_2|^{2+2\epsilon})^{-1}|k_1-k_2|^{-n}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Including the case $k_1 = k_2$ yields the estimate (43).

The second estimate (44) follows analogously to (43) since the proof of Lemma 5.2 allows k_2 to be negative. □

The proof of the next corollary follows by identical arguments to [23, Cor. 1].

Corollary 5.4. *Assume that $k_1, k_2 > 1$ and $x, y \in U$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\mathrm{Re}(k_1^{1+\epsilon+p}u_1(x,y,k_1))\mathrm{Re}(k_2^{1+\epsilon+p}u_1(x,y,k_2))\right| \leq C_n(1+|k_1-k_2|)^{-n}, \quad n>0,$$

where C_n is independent of x and y, and one may replace one or both of the real parts by imaginary parts.

5.2. **Asymptotics of the correlation.** In the following we introduce a useful reparametrization of the symbol $\widetilde{\sigma}$ that allows us to study its principal symbol and, consequently, the asymptotics related to (29). We define $\kappa_{(x,y)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\kappa_{(x,y)} = \eta^{-1} \circ \tau_{(x,y)}.$$

We frequently use notation $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) = \kappa_{(x,y)}(z_1,z_2)$ for $\mathbf{x} = (z_1,z_2,x,y) \in \Theta$. Let us now decompose the coordinate transform $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$. and proceed by decomposing also the Jacobian $J\kappa$ with similar notation. The corresponding Jacobian is given by

$$J\kappa = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{11} & \kappa_{12} \\ \kappa_{21} & \kappa_{22} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} J_v\kappa_1 & J_v\kappa_2 \\ J_w\kappa_1 & J_w\kappa_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{46}$$

where we have

$$\kappa_{11} := J_v\kappa_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{v_1}V_1 & \partial_{v_2}V_1 \\ \partial_{v_1}V_2 & \partial_{v_2}V_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2} \quad \text{for} \quad \kappa_1 = (V_1,V_2)$$

with similar definition for terms $J_v\kappa_2$, $J_w\kappa_1$ and $J_w\kappa_2$.

Lemma 5.5. *The symbol $\widetilde{\sigma}$ defined in Lemma 5.2 has a principal symbol*

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^p(w,\xi;x,y) = \frac{b(z,(\kappa_{11}^{-\top}\xi)^0)}{(1+|(A(z)\kappa_{11}^{-\top}\xi|^2)^{1+\epsilon}} \cdot \frac{H_{(x,y)}(v,w)}{|\det \kappa_{11}|}\bigg|_{v=0} \tag{47}$$

where $z = (\tau_{(x,y)}(v,w))_1$, $\kappa_{11} = \kappa_{11}(v,w;x,y)$ and H is defined by (40).

Proof. Notice that the pull-back $c_\eta = \eta^*(c_\lambda)$ belongs to $I(\Theta;\ \widetilde{S}_2)$ where $\widetilde{S}_2 = \{(v,w,x,y) \mid v = 0\}$. Moreover, a direct substitution shows that

$$c_\eta(v,w;x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{iv\cdot\xi}\sigma_\eta(v,w,\xi;x,y)\,d\xi, \tag{48}$$

where $\sigma_\eta(v,w,\xi;x,y) = \sigma((\eta(v,w))_1,\xi;x,y)$. In order to find out how the symbol is transformed in the change of coordinates, we have to represent c_η with a symbol that does not depend on v. Again, [18, Lemma 18.2.1] yields that there exists $\widetilde{\sigma}_\eta \in S^{-2}_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^3_0 \times \mathbb{R}^3_0)$ such that

$$\sigma_\eta(v,w;x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{iv\cdot\xi}\widetilde{\sigma}_\eta(w,\xi;x,y)\,d\xi, \tag{49}$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}_\eta$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_\eta(w,\xi;x,y) \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle -iD_V, D_\xi\rangle^l \sigma_\eta(v,w,\xi;x,y)|_{v=0} \in S^{-2-2\epsilon}_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^3_0 \times \mathbb{R}^3_0).$$

Recall now that we have an identity $c_\tau = \kappa^* c_\eta$, since $\eta \circ \kappa = \tau$. Below, we use [18, Thm. 18.2.9.] to provide a representation for c_τ. Since κ maps $\widetilde{S} \cap \tau^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ onto $\widetilde{S}_2 \cap \eta^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$, we obtain

$$c_\tau(v,w;x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{iv\cdot\xi}\sigma_\tau(w,\xi;x,y)\,d\xi, \tag{50}$$

where $\sigma_\tau(w,\xi;x,y) \in S^{-2-2\epsilon}_{1,0}(\Theta)$. Using the decomposition (46) we have that the symbol c_τ satisfies

$$\sigma_\tau(w,\xi;x,y) = \frac{1}{|\det\kappa_{11}|}\widetilde{\sigma}_\eta(\kappa_2,\kappa_{11}^{-\top}\xi)|_{v=0} + r(w,\xi;x,y), \tag{51}$$

where $\kappa_2 = \kappa_2(v,w;x,y)$, $\kappa_{11} = \kappa_{11}(v,w;x,y)$ and $r \in S^{-3}_{1,0}(\Theta)$.

We note that the transformation rule used above in [18, Thm. 18.2.9] is presented for half-densities. The proof of the analogous result for distributions, however, is immediate.

Recall that the principal symbol of C_λ is given by $\sigma^p(z,\xi) = b(z,\xi^0)(1+|\xi|^2)^{-1-\epsilon}$ and thus

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^p_\eta(w,\xi) = b(z,\xi^0)(1+|\xi|^2)^{-1-\epsilon}\big|_{v=0} \tag{52}$$

where $z = (\eta(v,w))_1$. Notice how the dependence on z appears due to the equation (35). Plugging $\widetilde{\sigma}^p_\eta$ to formula (51), we see that the principal symbol of $\sigma_\tau(w,\xi;x,y)$ is

$$\sigma^p_\tau(w,\xi;x,y) = b(z,(\kappa_{11}^{-\top}\xi)^0)(1+|\kappa_{11}^{-\top}\xi|^2)^{-1-\epsilon}\big|_{v=0} \cdot J(w,x,y)$$

where $z = (\tau_{(x,y)}(v,w))_1$, $\kappa_{11} = \kappa_{11}(v,w,x,y)$ and

$$J(w,x,y) = |\det\kappa_{11}(0,w,x,y)|^{-1}.$$

Finally, we obtain the result by considering the leading term in (42). □

Theorem 5.6. *For $k_1 = k_2 = k$ we have the asymptotics*

$$\mathcal{I}(x,y,k,k) = R(x,y)k^{-2-2\epsilon-2p} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-3-2p}), \tag{53}$$

where $R \in C^\infty(U \times U)$ and we have

$$R(x,y) = \frac{1}{4^{2+\epsilon}\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(w,x,y) \frac{b(z(w), (\kappa_{11}(w)^\top e_1)^0)}{|x - z(w)|^2 |y - z(w)|^2} dw, \tag{54}$$

where

$$g(w,x,y) = \frac{\det(J\tau_{(x,y)}(v,w))|_{v=0}}{|\kappa_{11}(w)^{-\top} e_1|^{2+2\epsilon} |\det \kappa_{11}(w)|}.$$

Above, we denote $z(w) = (\tau_{(x,y)}(0,w))_1 = (\tau_{(x,y)}(0,w))_2$ and $\kappa_{11}(w) = \kappa_{11}(0,w,x,y)$.

Proof. To obtain the leading order asymptotics of $\mathcal{I}$, we consider the contributions of the principal symbol and the lower order remainder terms separately. We write

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(w,\xi;x,y) = \widetilde{\sigma}^p(w,\xi;x,y) + \widetilde{\sigma}_r(w,\xi;x,y),$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}_r(w,\xi;x,y) \in S^{-3}_{1,0}(\Theta)$ is smooth and compactly supported in (w,x,y)-variables. Thus $|D^\alpha_w \widetilde{\sigma}_r(w,\xi;x,y)| \leq C_\alpha(1+|\xi|)^{-3}$ for all multi-indices α and we infer as for equation (45) that

$$|(\mathcal{F}_w \widetilde{\sigma}_r)(\mathbf{0}, -2ke_1; x, y)| = \mathcal{O}((1+2|k|)^{-3}). \tag{55}$$

Thus the contribution of $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$ to $\mathcal{I}$ is estimated by the right hand side of (55). Let us now consider the principal symbol. We substitute the principal symbol (47) to formula (37) and obtain

$$\mathcal{I}(x,y,k,k) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 k^{2p}} \mathcal{F}_w \left(\frac{b(z,(e')^0) H_{(x,y)}(0,w) J(w,x,y)}{(1+4k^2|e'|^2)^{1+\epsilon}} \right)\Bigg|_{w=\mathbf{0}} + \mathcal{O}((1+2|k|)^{-3-2p}), \tag{56}$$

where $e' = \kappa_{11}(0,w,x,y)^{-\top} e_1$ and $z = (\tau_{(x,y)}(0,w))_1$. It holds for large k that

$$(1+4k^2|e'|^2)^{-1-\epsilon} = \left(\frac{1}{4} |e'|^{-2} k^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k^{-2j} (-4|e'|^2)^{-j} \right)^{1+\epsilon} = \frac{1}{4^{1+\epsilon}} |e'|^{-2-2\epsilon} k^{-2-2\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(|k|^{-3}),$$

Now the result follows by applying such an estimate to equation (56). □

Theorem 5.7. *The function R is equation (53) satisfies*

$$R(x,x) = \frac{1}{4^{4+\epsilon}\pi^2} \int_D \frac{b(z,(z-x)^0)}{|z-x|^4} \, dz \quad \text{for } x \in U.$$

Proof. The result can be obtained by simply evaluating the terms in (54) for the case $x = y$. First, let us write $z := (\tau_{(x,x)}(0,w))_1 = (\tau_{(x,x)}(0,w))_2$. A straightforward calculation yields

$$\kappa_{11}(0,w,x,x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha + \alpha \sin\alpha & -\sin\alpha \\ \sin\alpha - \alpha\cos\alpha & \cos\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha = w_2/w_1$. In particular, this implies $\det(\kappa_{11}(0,w,x,x)) = 1$ and $\kappa_{11}(0,w,x,x)^{-\top} e_1 = 1$. Additionally, one can show that

$$\det\left(J\tau_{(x,x)}(v,w)\right) = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\det\left(\frac{d}{dw} (\tau_{(x,x)})_1(v,w) \right) \right)^{-1} \Bigg|_{v=0} = 4.$$

The latter term appears, when the domain of integration in (54) is transformed by $\tau_{(x,x)}$. Finally, recall that

$$f(z,x,x) = \frac{\nabla_z \phi(z,x,x)}{\|\nabla_z \phi(z,x,x)\|} = \frac{z-x}{|z-x|}.$$

We have $\alpha = w_2/w_1 = \arcsin\left(e_1 \cdot f(z_1,x,x)\right)$ and, consequently, $\kappa_{11}(0,w,x,x)^{-\top} e_1 = f(z_1,x,x)$, which yields the correct directional component in b. By putting the arguments together we conclude that the claim holds. □

6. Convergence of the measurement

Let us first reproduce the important ergodic theorem needed. The following claim is obtained e.g. from [12].

Theorem 6.1. *Let X_t, $t \geq 0$, be a real valued stochastic process with continuous paths. Assume that for some positive constants $C, \epsilon > 0$ the condition*

$$|\mathbb{E} X_t X_{t+r}| \leq C(1+r)^{-\epsilon}$$

holds for all $t, r \geq 0$. Then almost surely

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \frac{1}{K} \int_1^K X_t dt = 0.$$

Proposition 6.2. *For any $x, y \in U$ we have almost surely*

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \frac{1}{K-1} \int_1^K k^{2(1+\epsilon+p)} |u_1(x;y,k)|^2 dk = R(x,y).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.6 we have that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(k^{2(1+\epsilon+p)}|u_1(x;y,k)|^2) = R(x,y)$. Let us write $Y(x,y,k) = k^{2(1+\epsilon+p)}(|u_1(x;y,k)|^2 - \mathbb{E}|u_1(x;y,k)|^2)$. We decompose Y as

$$\begin{aligned} Y(x,y,k) &= k^{2(1+\epsilon+p)} \left((\mathrm{Re} u_1(x;y,k)^2 - \mathbb{E}(\mathrm{Re} u_1(x;y,k))^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\mathrm{Im} u_1(x;y,k))^2 - \mathbb{E}(\mathrm{Im} u_1(x;y,k))^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

One can show that

$$\mathbb{E}|Y(x,y,k_1)Y(x,y,k_2)| \leq \frac{C}{1+|k_1-k_2|^2},$$

for any $k_1, k_2 \geq 1$, by using Corollary 5.4 and the well-known identity [23, Lemma 7]

$$\mathbb{E}\left((X_1^2 - \mathbb{E}X_1^2)(X_2^2 - \mathbb{E}X_2^2)\right) = 2(\mathbb{E}X_1 X_2)^2,$$

where X_1 and X_2 are zero-mean Gaussian random variables. The claim follows immediately from Theorem 6.1. □

We are ready to prove the main result regarding the forward problem.

Proof of Theorem 2.7. It remains to show that for any $x, y \in U$ we have almost surely

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \frac{1}{K-1} \int_1^K k^{2(1+\epsilon+p)} |u_s(x;y,k)|^2 dk = R(x,y). \tag{57}$$

Recall from Corollary 4.8 that there is a random index $k_0 = k_0(\omega)$ such that $k_0 < \infty$ almost surely, and for any $k \geq k_0$ it holds that the Born series converges pointwise in $U \times U$. Since

$u_1 \in C(U \times U)$, also the series $u_r = u_s - u_1$ converges pointwise. Now from inequality (28) we see that

$$\sum_{n\geq 2} \sup_{x,y\in U} |u_n(x;y,k)| \leq Ck^{-\frac{1}{2}-2p},$$

where $C = C(\omega)$ is finite almost surely. Hence it follows that

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \frac{1}{K-1}\int_1^K k^{2(1+\epsilon+p)}|u_r|^2 dk \leq \lim_{K\to\infty} \frac{C}{K-1}\int_1^K k^{1+2\epsilon-2p}dk = 0, \tag{58}$$

since $1 + 2\epsilon - 2p < 0$ according to Assumption (A2). The result is obtained by combining Proposition 6.2 together with equation (58) and by showing that the integral of the cross-term in $|u_s|^2 = |u_1|^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}\,(u_1\overline{u_r}) + |u_r|^2$ decays. Here, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields the desired claim in $L^2([1,K])$ equipped with the weight $(K-1)^{-1}dk$. This proves the statement. □

7. Recoverability

In this section we prove that given $R(x,x)$, $x \in U$, we can recover information about the principal symbol of C_λ and, especially, the local strength $b = b(x,\xi^0)$. We first show that the data reduces to anisotropic spherical Radon transforms

$$(\mathcal{S}b)(x',r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} b(x'+r\theta,\theta)d|\theta| \tag{59}$$

for $x' \in \mathbb{R}^3_0$ and $r > 0$. Afterwards, we explicitly solve the null space of this transform and give proofs to our main Theorems 2.6 and 2.7. On the notation: below, we often identify $\mathbb{S}^1$ with $[0,2\pi)$ or $\mathbb{R}$ modulo $[0,2\pi)$, while integration over $\mathbb{S}^1$ in (59).

Theorem 7.1. *The backscattering data i.e. $R(x,x)$, $x = (x',x_3) \in U$ determines the integrals $(\mathcal{S}b)(x',r)$ for any $x' \in \mathbb{R}^3_0$ and $r > 0$.*

Proof. Let us write

$$R(x,x) \propto \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_0} \frac{b(y,(y-x')^0)}{|y-x|^4}dy = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty (\mathcal{S}b)(x',r)\frac{1}{(r^2+x_3^2)^2}dr,$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ was represented in polar coordinates $(\theta,r) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Recall that $R(x,x)$ is only known inside the set U. However, notice that we have $(\mathcal{S}b)(x',r) = 0$ for all $r < \mathrm{dist}(U',D)$, where U' is the projection of U to $\mathbb{R}^3_0$ in Assumption (A1). Now, consider function $F(x_3) = R(x,x)$ for any $x = (x',x_3) \in U$, where x' is fixed. Let us extend F to the complex plane. We find that F is analytic in any disc $B(x_3,r)$ such that $r < x_3$. Consequently, we recover $F(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z > 0$, by the Taylor series

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{F^{(n)}(x_3)}{n!}(z-x_3)^n. \tag{60}$$

Moreover, function R is smooth in the coordinate x_3 and hence it holds that

$$\left(C\frac{1}{x_3}\partial_{x_3}R\right)(x,x) = \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{S}b)(x',r)\frac{1}{(r^2+x_3^2)^3}dr$$

with a suitable constant C. It follows that

$$\lim_{x_3\to 0}\left(C\frac{1}{x_3}\partial_{x_3}R\right)(x,x)=\int_0^\infty(\mathcal{S}b)(x',r)r^{-4}dr.$$

By applying the operator $\frac{C}{x_3}\partial_{x_3}$ repeatedly, we recover the integrals

$$\int_0^\infty\frac{(\mathcal{S}b)(x',r)}{r^2}Q\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)dr,$$

where $Q(t)=\sum_{j=0}^p a_jt^j$, $p\geq 0$. The support of $r\mapsto\mathcal{S}b(x',r)$ lies in a finite interval $[a,b]$ with $a,b>0$. Since functions of the form $Q(1/r^2)$ are dense in $C([a,b])$, we can uniquely determine $\mathcal{S}b(x',r)$ for all $r>0$ and any $x'\in U'$, where U' is the projection of U to $\mathbb{R}^3_0$.

The remaining task is to uniquely continue the data to $\mathbb{R}^3_0\times\mathbb{R}_+$. Let ϕ be a radial analytic function on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Due to assumption (A4) we notice that the function

$$h(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\phi(y)b\left(x-y,\frac{y}{|y|}\right)|y|^s dy=\int_D\phi(x-z)\widetilde{b}(z,z-x)dz$$

is holomorphic in a $\mathbb{C}^2$-neighbourhood of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Consequently, we can uniquely continue h to any $x\in\mathbb{R}^2$. We choose a sequence of analytic functions $\phi_j\in C_0^\infty(0,\infty)$ such that ϕ_j converges to the Dirac delta $\delta(\cdot-r_0)$ in the sense generalized functions. We can then write

$$h_j(x)=\int_D\phi_j(|x-z|)\widetilde{b}(z,z-x)dz=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty h_j(r)\mathcal{S}(x,r)r^s dr.$$

By taking j to zero, we obtain $\lim_{j\to\infty}2\pi h_j(x)/r_0^s=\mathcal{S}(x,r_0)$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and $r_0>0$. This concludes the proof. □

Let us now study the general properties of the following transformation $\mathcal{S}$ for compactly supported functions $f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1)$. We are interested about how $\mathcal{S}$ acts in the subspace

$$X=\{f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1)\mid f(\cdot,z)=f(\cdot,-z)\}\subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1) \tag{61}$$

and show the following result:

Theorem 7.2. *The operator $\mathcal{S}:X\to R(\mathcal{S})$ has a null space*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})=\left\{g\in X\mid(\mathcal{F}g)\left(\xi,T(-\theta)\frac{\xi^\perp}{|\xi|}\right)=-(\mathcal{F}g)\left(\xi,T(\theta)\frac{\xi^\perp}{|\xi|}\right)\text{ for any }0\leq\theta\leq\frac{\pi}{2}\right\} \tag{62}$$

where the mapping $T(\theta):\mathbb{S}^1\to\mathbb{S}^1$ rotates $\mathbb{S}^1$ rigidly by angle θ and we have denoted $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}$.

Proof. Let us assume that $f\in X$ and

$$(\mathcal{S}f)(x,r)=0\quad\text{for all }x\in\mathbb{R}^2,r>0. \tag{63}$$

One can show that $(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}f)(\xi,r)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}e^{ir\theta\cdot\xi}(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi,\theta)d|\theta|$ by applying the Fubini theorem and a change of variables via $y=x+r\theta$. Let us consider $(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}f)(\xi,r)$ for a fixed frequency ξ and denote $\alpha=\arccos\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\cdot e_1\right)$ for $e_1=(1,0)^\top$. Then by the Cosine rule we obtain

$$(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}f)\left(\xi,\frac{r}{|\xi|}\right)=\int_\alpha^{\alpha+2\pi}e^{ir\cos(\theta-\alpha)}(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi,\theta)rd\theta=\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}e^{ir\cos\theta}g(\theta)d|\theta|,$$

where we have written $g(\theta) = (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi, \theta + \alpha)$. Since f is in the null space of $\mathcal{S}$ we have

$$h(r,\theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ir\cos\theta} g(\theta) d\theta = 0$$

for any $r > 0$.

The periodicity of f in X is inherited by g as π-periodicity $g(\theta + \pi) = g(\theta)$ for any θ. Here, the value $\theta + \pi$ is considered modulo 2π. Let us now compute

$$(\partial r)^j h(r,\theta)|_{r=0} = \int_0^{2\pi} (i\cos\theta)^j g(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^{\pi} \left((i\cos\theta)^j + (-i\cos\theta)^j\right) g(\theta) d\theta = 0$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Clearly, it follows that $\int_{-1}^{1} p_j(t)\widetilde{g}(t)dt = 0$, where $p_j(t) = t^{2j}(1+t^2)^{-1/2}$, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ where $\widetilde{g}(t) = g(\arccos t)$. Since the Taylor series of $\widetilde{g}$ at zero can contain only the odd powered polynomials, we must have $\widetilde{g}(-t) = -\widetilde{g}(t)$ for any $0 \le t \le 1$ and thus

$$g\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) = -g\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \theta\right)$$

for any $0 \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$. Finally, we get that for any ξ we have

$$(\mathcal{F}f)\left(\xi, \frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha(\xi) - \theta\right) = -(\mathcal{F}f)\left(\xi, \frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha(\xi) + \theta\right)$$

for $0 \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6 In particular, Theorem 7.2 implies that if $g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ then

$$(\mathcal{F}g)\left(\xi, (\xi^\perp)^0\right) = (\mathcal{F}g)\left(\xi, \xi^0\right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{64}$$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is linear, we have that $\mathcal{S} : X \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}) \to R(\mathcal{S})$ is invertible in its range. Hence from the knowledge of data

$$\{\mathcal{S}f(x,r) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^2, r \ge 0\} \tag{65}$$

we can recover the class $f + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$, i.e. for any π-periodic $\phi \in C([-\pi/2, \pi/2])$, which is symmetric with respect to 0 and $\pi/2$, we know

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\mathcal{F}f)\left(\xi, T(\theta)(\xi^\perp)^0\right) \phi(\theta) d\theta \tag{66}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, the equation (64) yields that we know values

$$(\mathcal{F}f)\left(\xi, \xi^0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{F}f)\left(\xi, (\xi^\perp)^0\right). \tag{67}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$. □

We now turn our attention to functions of type

$$f(x,y) = \langle y, A(x)y\rangle \tag{68}$$

Recall the according to (A5) the matrix field $x \mapsto A(x)$ is smooth and symmetric. Moreover, it has uniformly bounded eigenvalues and satisfies $\operatorname{supp}(A) \subset D$. Clearly, recovering f everywhere would lead to recovering A everywhere. However, as we noticed above this is not possible using only the properties of $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.7 Suppose the backscattering data $n_0(x)$, $x \in U$, is given. According to Theorem 7.1 we can uniquely recover functions $(\mathcal{S}b)(x', r)$ for all $x' \in \mathbb{R}^3_0$ and $r > 0$. Let us now denote

$$A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \\ b(x) & c(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\widehat{A}(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}A)(\xi)$. Theorem 2.6 yields the values

$$r_1(\xi) = \frac{\langle \widehat{A}(\xi)\xi, \xi \rangle}{|\xi|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad r_2(\xi) = \frac{\langle \widehat{A}(\xi)\xi^\perp, \xi^\perp \rangle}{|\xi|^2}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. In polar coordinates $\xi = \xi(r, \theta) = r(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)^\top$ the function r_1 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(\xi) &= \widehat{a}(\xi)\cos^2\theta + 2\widehat{b}(\xi)\sin\theta\cos\theta + \widehat{c}(\xi)\sin^2\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\widehat{a}(\xi) + \widehat{c}(\xi) + (\widehat{a}(\xi) - \widehat{c}(\xi))\cos 2\theta + 2\widehat{b}(\xi)\sin 2\theta\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, r_2 has the form

$$r_2(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\widehat{a}(\xi) + \widehat{c}(\xi) - (\widehat{a}(\xi) - \widehat{c}(\xi))\cos 2\theta - 2\widehat{b}(\xi)\sin 2\theta\Big).$$

Since we know both r_1 and r_2 for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, we recover $r_1(\xi) + r_2(\xi) = \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{A}(\xi))$. Additionally, we notice that for $\xi = 0$ we have $(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}f)(0, r) = \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{A}(0))$. It follows that we recover $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{A})) = \mathrm{Tr}(A)$ everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Next consider equation

$$r_1(\xi) - r_2(\xi) = (\widehat{a}(\xi) - \widehat{c}(\xi))\cos 2\theta + 2\widehat{b}(\xi)\sin 2\theta.$$

Suppose now that one of the functions a, b or c is known. It is easy to see that the other two are recovered outside the set $\{\cos 2\theta = 0\}$ or $\{\sin 2\theta = 0\}$. Since the components of $\widehat{A}$ are analytic, we can recover the whole function. □

Remark 7.3. *In this work we impose a frequency-dependent model on the Robin coefficient λ in Assumption (A4). This is required in order to show convergence for the scattered residual term u_r in the proof of Theorem 2.7. We point out that if the residual term can be neglected, our result can also be applied directly to a frequency-independent case ($p = 0$). Moreover, it remains future work to study if also in such a case the multiple scattering converges, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \frac{1}{K-1} \int_1^K k^{2+2\epsilon} |u_n|^2 dk = 0 \tag{69}$$

for $n \geq 2$.

Acknowledgements. Helin and Päivärinta were supported by the ERC-2010 Advanced Grant, 267700 - InvProb (Inverse Problems). Päivärinta was additionally supported by the Finnish Centre of Excellence in Inverse Problems Research. Lassas was supported by the Academy of Finland. We would like to thank Petri Ola and Gunther Uhlmann for fruitful discussions.

References

[1] M. L. Agranovsky and E. T. Quinto. Injectivity sets for the Radon transform over circles and complete systems of radial functions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 139(2):383–414, 1996.

[2] R. Alonso, L. Borcea, and J. Garnier. Wave propagation in waveguides with random boundaries. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 11(1):233–267, 2013.

[3] G. Bal and W. Jing. Corrector theory for elliptic equations in random media with singular Green's function. Application to random boundaries. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 9(2):383–411, 2011.

[4] G. Bal, G. Papanicolaou, and L. Ryzhik. Radiative transport limit for the random Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinearity*, 15(2):513–529, 2002.

[5] G. Bao, S.-N. Chow, P. Li, and H. Zhou. An inverse random source problem for the Helmholtz equation. *Math. Comp.*, 83(285):215–233, 2014.

[6] E. Blåsten, L. Päivärinta, and J. Sylvester. Corners Always Scatter. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 331(2):725–753, 2014.

[7] L. Borcea, G. Papanicolaou, C. Tsogka, and J. Berryman. Imaging and time reversal in random media. *Inverse Problems*, 18(5):1247–1279, 2002.

[8] S. N. Chandler-Wilde. The impedance boundary value problem for the Helmholtz equation in a half-plane. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 20(10):813–840, 1997.

[9] S. N. Chandler-Wilde and A. T. Peplow. A boundary integral equation formulation for the Helmholtz equation in a locally perturbed half-plane. *ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech.*, 85(2):79–88, 2005.

[10] D. Colton and R. Kress. *Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory*, volume 93 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2013.

[11] D. L. Colton and R. Kress. *Integral equation methods in scattering theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1983. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[12] H. Cramér and M. R. Leadbetter. *Stationary and related stochastic processes*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2004. Sample function properties and their applications, Reprint of the 1967 original.

[13] M. Durán, I. Muga, and J.-C. Nédélec. The Helmholtz equation with impedance in a half-plane. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 340(7):483–488, 2005.

[14] M. Durán, I. Muga, and J.-C. Nédélec. The Helmholtz equation with impedance in a half-space. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 341(9):561–566, 2005.

[15] M. Durán, I. Muga, and J.-C. Nédélec. The Helmholtz equation in a locally perturbed half-space with non-absorbing boundary. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 191(1):143–172, 2009.

[16] J.-P. Fouque, J. Garnier, G. Papanicolaou, and K. Sølna. *Wave propagation and time reversal in randomly layered media*, volume 56 of *Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability*. Springer, New York, 2007.

[17] T. Helin, M. Lassas, and L. Oksanen. Inverse problem for the wave equation with a white noise source. *accepted to Comm. Math. Phys*, 2014.

[18] L. Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007. Pseudo-differential operators, Reprint of the 1994 edition.

[19] J.-P. Kahane. *Some random series of functions*, volume 5 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1985.

[20] G. Karamyan. The inverse scattering problem for the acoustic equation in a half-space. *Inverse Problems*, 18(6):1673–1686, 2002.

[21] G. Karamyan. Inverse scattering in a half space with passsive boundary. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 28(9-10):1627–1640, 2003.

[22] G. Karamyan. The inverse scattering problem with impedance boundary in a half-space. *Inverse Problems*, 20(5):1485–1495, 2004.

[23] M. Lassas, L. Päivärinta, and E. Saksman. Inverse scattering problem for a two dimensional random potential. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 279(3):669–703, 2008.

[24] W. McLean. *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[25] F. M. Odeh. Uniqueness theorems for the Helmholtz equation in domains with infinite boundaries. *J. Math. Mech.*, 12:857–867, 1963.
[26] L. Päivärinta and M. Zubeldia. The inverse Robin boundary value problem in a half-space. *arXiv:1311.6947*.
[27] M. A. Shubin. *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. Translated from the Russian by Stig I. Andersson.
[28] M. E. Taylor. *Pseudodifferential operators*, volume 34 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.
[29] M. E. Taylor. *Partial differential equations III. Nonlinear equations*, volume 117 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2011.
[30] G. Vainikko. *Multidimensional weakly singular integral equations*, volume 1549 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.